South Dakota Incentive Fund

South Dakota's Incentive Fund project is designed to implement a teacher incentive system in high-need schools in predominantly rural areas. The system will include professional development and incentives to principals and instructional staff based on classroom and school-wide gains in student achievement.

Needs Assessment Results and General Information

South Dakota ranks from seventh to third highest among the states in reading, mathematics, and science at the fourth- and eighth-grade levels. More than 92 percent of classes are taught by highly qualified teachers. However, 57 percent of South Dakota's Native American children live in poverty compared to the state average of 16 percent. The highest childhood poverty rates in the state occur in counties and schools located on or near reservation lands, with a high concentration of Native American families.

Forty Title I elementary and secondary schools in 10 school districts will participate in the teacher incentive program. They represent the lowest performing schools, and all are in rural areas.

Background

Currently, teacher compensation in South Dakota reflects a very traditional approach. School districts negotiate with teacher unions to establish a salary schedule that sets the base rate for teacher pay with increments for "steps" and "lanes" that respond to years of experience and continuing education.

The Governor has proposed a new teacher compensation program that links performance and teacher pay. The proposed plan is part of South Dakota's 2010E Initiative, which provides a vision for the future of education in South Dakota.

Incentives

The \$3 million South Dakota Incentive Fund will be distributed through a three-tier approach among participating schools and educators. The first tier will be school-based, with all principals and instructional staff in the eligible schools receiving awards based on student achievement at the school level. The second tier provides awards for principals and teachers based on individual performance (student achievement, effective performance, and leadership). The third tier is based on recruitment and signing incentives for teachers in hard-to-fill positions within participating schools. Five types of compensation are available to principals, instructional staff, or teachers. The table shows the eligible party, the amount of compensation, and the criteria for receiving the award.

Location(s)South DakotaAward DateJune 2007Duration5 years

Partners Technology and Innovation in Education



South Dakota Pay Incentives for Staff in Participating Schools

Performance Award and Eligibility	Compensation Amount	Criteria for Receiving Award
School-based Performance Award: principals, assistant principals, and Instructional staff	Principals: \$4,000; certified instructional staff: \$2,250; non-certified instructional staff: \$1,100	School meets AYP as defined by state or demonstrates growth in student achievement.
Individual Leadership Roles and Responsibilities: principals and certified instructional staff	BLT team members: \$1,500; CWG team members: \$750 (certified staff); \$500 (non-certified staff)	Actively engaged in BLT and CWG meetings and activities.
Individual Effective Performance: principals and classroom teachers	Principals: \$1,000; classroom teachers: \$1,500	Teachers complete National Board Certification or Take One! Principals participate in Leadership Academy.
Individual/Classroom Increases in Student Achievement: classroom teachers	Classroom teachers: \$750; paraprofessionals assigned to a class: \$350	South Dakota achievement tests and other achievement tests.
Recruitment Incentive: new teachers	Teacher: \$5,000	Signed contract and agreement.

Evaluation

An outside evaluator will implement a mixed method evaluation by collecting, analyzing, and interpreting qualitative and quantitative data. The evaluation is designed to measure the impact of the teacher incentive program and to evaluate its implementation. The design includes mechanisms for providing ongoing input to the development of the performance-based compensation system. Furthermore, the evaluation will explore the relationship among multiple factors and student achievement.

Resources

SDI+ leaders are working with the 10 project school districts to look at possibilities of using their funding, which includes local, state, and Federal dollars, to sustain this work. ARRA Title I funds will be used as matching dollars for Years 3 and 4 of the project. Leaders in South Dakota are committed to exploring sustainability options by looking for resources from Federal, state, and local sources.

Data Systems

The Student Information Management System has the capability to track student information over time and from school to school. It also provides data to track the results of achievement tests on the classroom level and school level, as well. Technology and Innovation in Education (TIE) will provide the data management activities.

TIE has worked with South Dakota to analyze results of achievement tests on the classroom and individual levels and to analyze data specific to content standards and student success related to specific knowledge and skills.

Year 2 Activities

The project has implemented three professional development activities that are linked to incentive payments and targeted at improving student achievement. First, all teachers reflect on their instructional practice by participating in the National Board Take One! process. Second, all principals hone their skills by participating in a Leadership Academy, which includes on-site mentor support by recently retired principals. Third, each building in the project embraces professional learning communities as a way to empower teacher leaders in the school improvement process.

Outlook for Year 3

Momentum is building in project schools as professional learning communities provide the structure for engaging all staff in the school improvement process. The individual effective performance component of the project will expand to provide the opportunity for teachers to pursue full National Board Certification. Project leaders continue to learn positive and negative lessons as each round of incentive payments is made to staff in participating schools.

